

The Philippine Review of Economics

Editor-in-Chief

EMMANUEL F. ESGUERRA

Editorial Advisory Board

EMMANUEL S. DE DIOS

RAUL V. FABELLA

HAL CHRISTOPHER HILL

CHARLES Y. HORIOKA

KIAN GUAN LIM

ROBERTO S. MARIANO

JOHN VINCENT C. NYE

GERARDO P. SICAT

JEFFREY G. WILLIAMSON

Associate Editors

LAWRENCE B. DACUYCUY

FRANCISCO G. DAKILA JR.

JONNA P. ESTUDILLO

MARIA S. FLORO

GILBERTO M. LLANTO

SER PERCIVAL K. PEÑA-REYES

Managing Editor

HONLANI RUTH R. RUFO

SPECIAL ISSUE ON INDUSTRIAL POLICY

ARTICLES

Philippine industrial policy?
Why not? **Manuel F. Montes**

Industrial policy and
complexity economics **Josef T. Yap
John Faust M. Turla**

Mapping feasible routes
towards economic
diversification and industrial
upgrading in the Philippines **Annette O. Balaoing-Pelkmans
Adrian R. Mendoza**

Industrial policy for
innovation: why does it
matter? **Rafaelita M. Aldaba
Fernando T. Aldaba**

Exploring the prospects of
services-led development
for the Philippines **Ramonette B. Serafica**

Natural gas and transitioning
to renewable fuels:
considerations from
industrial policy **Dante B. Canlas
Karl Robert L. Jandoc**

How might China-US
industrial policies affect
the Philippines?: a
quantitative exercise **Ma. Joy V. Abrenica
Anthony G. Sabarillo**

COMMENTS

Felipe M. Medalla, Raul V. Fabella, Hal Hill,
Emmanuel S. de Dios, Mead Over, Ramon L. Clarete,
Gonzalo Varela



A joint publication of the
University of the Philippines
School of Economics
and the **Philippine Economic Society**





The Philippine Review of Economics

A joint publication of the UP School of Economics (UPSE)
and the Philippine Economic Society (PES)

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Emmanuel F. Esguerra
UP SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Emmanuel S. de Dios
UP SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

Raul V. Fabella
UP SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

Hal Christopher Hill
AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Charles Y. Horioka
KOBE UNIVERSITY

Kian Guan Lim
SINGAPORE MANAGEMENT UNIVERSITY

Roberto S. Mariano
UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

John Vincent C. Nye
GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY

Gerardo P. Sicat
UP SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

Jeffrey G. Williamson
HARVARD UNIVERSITY

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

Lawrence B. Dacuycuy
DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

Francisco G. Dakila Jr.
BANGKO SENTRAL NG PILIPINAS

Jonna P. Estudillo
UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES

Maria S. Floro
AMERICAN UNIVERSITY (WASHINGTON D.C.)

Gilberto M. Llanto
PHILIPPINE INSTITUTE FOR DEVELOPMENT
STUDIES

Ser Percival K. Peña-Reyes
ATENEO DE MANILA UNIVERSITY

MANAGING EDITOR

Honlani Ruth R. Rufo
UP SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

Aims and Scope: *The Philippine Review of Economics* (PRE) invites theoretical and empirical articles on economics and economic development. Papers on the Philippines, Asian and other developing economies are especially welcome. Book reviews will also be considered.

The PRE is published jointly by the UP School of Economics and the Philippine Economic Society. Its contents are indexed in Scopus, the *Journal of Economic Literature*, EconLit, and RePec. PRE's readership includes economists and other social scientists in academe, business, government, and development research institutions.

Publication Information: The PRE (p-ISSN 1655-1516; e-ISSN 2984-8156) is a peer-reviewed journal published every June and December of each year. A searchable database of published articles and their abstracts is available at the PRE website (<http://pre.econ.upd.edu.ph>).

Subscription Information:

Subscription correspondence may be sent to the following addresses:

- css@pssc.org.ph and pes.eaea@gmail.com
- PSSC Central Subscription Service,
PSSCenter, Commonwealth Avenue, 1101, Diliman,
Quezon City, Philippines.
2/F Philippine Social Science Center, Commonwealth
Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City 1101
- PHONE: (02) 8929-2671, FAX: 8924-4178/8926-5179

Submissions: Authors may submit their manuscripts to the addresses below:

- pre.upd@up.edu.ph
- The Editor, The Philippine Review of Economics,
School of Economics, University of the Philippines,
Diliman, Quezon City, 1101.

Manuscripts must be written in English and in MS Word format. All graphs and tables must be in Excel format. Submission of a manuscript shall be understood by the PRE as indicating that the manuscript is not under consideration for publication in other journals. All submissions must include the title of the paper, author information, an abstract of no more than 150 words, and a list of three to four keywords. Complete guidelines can be viewed in the PRE's website.

Copyright: The *Philippine Review of Economics* is protected by Philippine copyright laws. Articles appearing herein may be reproduced for personal use but not for mass circulation. To reprint an article from PRE, permission from the editor must be sought.

Acknowledgments: The PRE gratefully acknowledges the financial support towards its publication provided by the Philippine Center for Economic Development (PCED). The *Review* nonetheless follows an independent editorial policy. The articles published reflect solely the editorial judgement of the editors and the views of their respective authors.

The Philippine Review of Economics

Vol. LXI No. 2
December 2024

p-ISSN 1655-1516
e-ISSN 2984-8156
DOI: 10.37907/ERP4202D

- iv Preface
- 1 Philippine industrial policy? Why not?
Manuel F. Montes
Comment, *Felipe M. Medalla*
- 24 Industrial policy and complexity economics
Josef T. Yap
John Faust M. Turla
Comment, *Raul V. Fabella*
- 55 Mapping feasible routes towards economic diversification
and industrial upgrading in the Philippines
Annette O. Balaoing-Pelkmans
Adrian R. Mendoza
Comment, *Hal Hill*
- 82 Industrial policy for innovation: why does it matter?
Rafaelita M. Aldaba
Fernando T. Aldaba
Comment, *Emmanuel S. de Dios*
- 114 Exploring the prospects of services-led development
for the Philippines
Ramonette B. Serafica
Comment, *Mead Over*
- 144 Natural gas and transitioning to renewable fuels: considerations
from industrial policy
Dante B. Canlas
Karl Robert L. Jandoc
Comment, *Ramon L. Clarete*
- 171 How might China-US industrial policies affect the Philippines?:
a quantitative exercise
Ma. Joy V. Abrenica
Anthony G. Sabarillo
Comment, *Gonzalo Varela*

Comment on “Industrial policy and complexity economics”

Raul V. Fabella*

University of the Philippines

First of all, I must admit to some unfamiliarity with complexity theory. Secondly, I agree on the importance of focusing on why investment rates in the Philippines are so low: the private investment rate of the country has remained below 25 percent and government capital outlays have remained even lower. Achieving a higher target of eight percent in government capital outlay within the next two years seems unlikely due to overwhelming consumption demands on resources which reduces the share of investment.

I appreciate exploring out of the box and complexity theory is certainly out of the box. Complexity uses a different kind of mathematics. A simple feedback loop can create unmanageable complexity. On imperfect information, we make a distinction between risk and uncertainty. Risk exists in a world with known probabilities and states of the world although knowledge may be imperfect. Risk can be reduced by acquiring more and more data. Uncertainty is something else: where uncertainty is radical, phenomena of interest and their dynamics are not governed by known probabilities. Nor are the states of the world known with certainty as they may be emerging. Emergence may be weak or strong. Strong emergence happens when the collective exerts an influence on the behavior of its parts: strong emergence is not yet governed by known mathematics.

Looking for an understanding of our problems in new math is admirable but also risky. The resort to complexity may just be misguided if, as Joseph Stiglitz affirms, cited by the authors, the failures we face are failures of political economy. I agree with Stiglitz that failures in politics, rather than mathematical economic modelling, are often the biggest obstacles to development. A noteworthy example is the Comprehensive Agrarian Reform Program (CARP), introduced in 1988, which remains in effect and continues to limit agricultural progress by limiting farm acreage growth. One way to create positive economic feedback is through farm consolidation, allowing for larger farm sizes and increased capital investment, similar to the strategies employed by China.

On radical uncertainty: what is the sense of radical uncertainty in this paper? Does this refer to the Knightian radical uncertainty from 1921 or John Kay and Mervin King’s *Radical uncertainty: decision-making for an unknowable future* from 2020? Is our lack of knowledge resolvable by acquiring additional

* Address all correspondence to raulfabella@yahoo.com.ph.

knowledge? Not in the case of radical uncertainty. The probability distribution or knowledge over the states of the world that is still being created; knowledge may not be built. It is contrary to risk uncertainty where the governing probability distribution is known and thus more data may whittle down the uncertainty.

The discussion on increasing returns to scale is very relevant to industrial policy. However, this is difficult to reconcile with neoclassical economics which assumes convexity overall and thus precludes scale economies.

Government interventions are conceived to correct garden variety market failures, where unaided interactions between self-seeking private actors result in inferior outcomes. Such, for example is CARP. But there is also the idea of meta-market failure: an economic system might function Pareto efficiently according to market principles but still produce socially undesirable outcomes, such as income inequality. In such cases, government intervention may be necessary to correct the system, not because of traditional market failures, but to align market outcomes with social goals. This seems to be the problem raised by Thomas Piketty in *Capital in the 21st century*.

Finally, with reference to the success of South Korea, which had sound underlying policies, and the Philippines where policy mistakes happened: what was the divergence due to? Was the divergence due to noncorrelation among the three variables? Or is the non-correlation due to Stiglitz's political economic behaviors? In the econometric work, it seems that there is a need for the three variables (investment/GDP, export/GDP, and manufacturing/GDP) to correlate highly throughout the development arena. What if there is no correlation at all? What if the correlation is only among two of them? Are the three highly correlated in Vietnam and Malaysia? How about the Philippines, what was the correlation between these? These questions don't seem to be satisfactorily answered.



The Philippine Economic Society

Founded 1961

BOARD OF TRUSTEES 2024

PRESIDENT

Agham C. Cuevas
UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES-LOS BAÑOS

VICE PRESIDENT

Marites M. Tiongco
DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

SECRETARY

Alice Joan G. Ferrer
UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES-VISAYAS

TREASURER

Adoracion M. Navarro
PHILIPPINE INSTITUTE FOR DEVELOPMENT
STUDIES

BOARD MEMBERS

Catherine Roween C. Almaden
NORTHERN BUKIDNON STATE COLLEGE

Roehlano M. Briones
PHILIPPINE INSTITUTE FOR DEVELOPMENT
STUDIES

Tristan A. Canare
BANGKO SENTRAL NG PILIPINAS

Jovi C. Dacanay
UNIVERSITY OF ASIA AND THE PACIFIC

Ricardo L. Dizon
POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES

Laarni C. Escresa
UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES DILIMAN

Ser Percival K. Peña-Reyes
ATENEO DE MANILA UNIVERSITY

EX-OFFICIO BOARD MEMBERS

Philip Arnold P. Tuaño
ATENEO DE MANILA UNIVERSITY
IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT

Emmanuel F. Esguerra
UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES DILIMAN
EDITOR-IN-CHIEF, *THE PHILIPPINE REVIEW OF
ECONOMICS*

The Philippine Economic Society (PES) was established in August 1962 as a nonstock, nonprofit professional organization of economists.

Over the years, the PES has served as one of the strongest networks of economists in the academe, government, and business sector.

Recognized in the international community of professional economic associations and a founding member of the Federation of ASEAN Economic Associations (FAEA), the PES continuously provides a venue for open and free discussions of a wide range of policy issues through its conference and symposia.

Through its journal, the *Philippine Review of Economics* (PRE), which is jointly published with the UP School of Economics, the Society performs a major role in improving the standard of economic research in the country and in disseminating new research findings.

At present, the Society enjoys the membership of some 500 economists and professionals from the academe, government, and private sector.

- Lifetime Membership – Any regular member who pays the lifetime membership dues shall be granted lifetime membership and shall have the rights, privileges, and responsibilities of a regular member, except for the payment of the annual dues.
- Regular Membership – Limited to individuals 21 years of age or older who have obtained at least a bachelor's degree in economics, or who, in the opinion of the Board of Directors, have shown sufficient familiarity and understanding of the science of economics to warrant admission to the Society. Candidates who have been accepted shall become members of the Society only upon payment of the annual dues for the current year.
- Student Membership – This is reserved for graduate students majoring in economics.

For more information, visit: economicsph.org.