#### University of the Philippines SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

Discussion Paper 8213

July 1982

THE 1981-85 TARIFF CHANGES AND EFFECTIVE PROTECTION OF MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

by ·

Romeo M. Bautista

# THE 1981-85 TARIFF CHANGES AND EFFECTIVE PROTECTION OF MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES\*

#### Romeo M. Bautista

#### ABSTRACT

As a result of a four-phase review of the tariff system undertaken by the Philippine government recently. a comprehensive but gradual revision of legal tariff rates has been scheduled over the period from January 1, 1981 to January 1, 1985 aimed at reducing the overall level of "effective protection" to domestic industries and making the rates more uniform across industries. This paper describes the nature of these tariff rate changes and lyzes their impact on effective protection rates in the manufacturing sector. While a significant improvement of the tariff system would be achieved by 1985, given the scheduled tariff changes, in terms of reducing the overall effective tariff protection and the dispersion of sectoral rates around the mean value, there will be room for additional rationalization of the structure of tariffs. This would generally entail a further reduction in the protection of sectors producing consumer goods and raising those of the intermediate and capital goods sectors. Related trade and development issues are discussed in the concluding section of the paper, especially with reference to current industrial plans and policies.

11. 10

<sup>\*</sup>An earlier draft of this paper was presented at the Fourth Annual Scientific Meeting of the National Academy of Science and Technology on July 14, 1982.

# THE 1981-85 TARIFF CHANGES AND EFFECTIVE PROTECTION OF MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

#### Romeo M. Bautista\*

#### I. Introduction

As previous empirical studies have amply documented, 1/
tariff policy in the Philippines throughout most of the postwar period had been too strongly supportive of the development of import-substituting industries producing consumer
goods at the finishing stages. Inevitably, however, high
tariff rates on finished products and low rates on intermediate
inputs and capital goods that characterized the country's
tariff structure had had the undesirable effects of inhibiting
export growth and backward integration while promoting inefficiency in the use of domestic resources and slow growth
of industrial employment. In the 1970s fiscal incentives
granted by the Board of Investments under the Investment
Incentives Act (RA 5186) and Export Incentives Act (RA 6135)
and a more flexible exchange rate policy served to provide
offsetting benefits to export-oriented firms. However, this

<sup>\*</sup>Professor of Economics, University of the Philippines and Acting President, Philippine Institute for Development Studies. L. Navera, R. Macalisang and especially M. Sison provided valuable assistance in data collection and computations.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{2}$  See, among others, Power and Sicat (1971), ILO (1974) and Bautista, Power and Associates (1979).

did not fully neutralize the biases in the relative incentive structure due to the existing tariff system (cf. Bautista, Power and Associates, 1979).

As part of a larger program to "rationalize and restructure industry," a comprehensive review of the tariff system was undertaken by the government in 1979-80. It culminated in the issuance of Executive Orders calling for gradual tariff changes over the 5-year period 1981-85 that we intended to substantially reduce the distortions in the tariff structure by the end of the period. The Tariff Commission has published recently the Tariff and Customs Code 1982 containing a consolidated schedule of the changes in tariff rates, which actually began to be implemented on January 1, 1981.

The primary objective of this paper is to assess the impact of the on-going tariff reform on "effective protection rates" in the manufacturing sector, assuming that the scheduled tariff rate changes will be fully implemented. It is well recognized that tariffs cause a divergence between domestic and international prices and hence encourage the movement of resources into import-substituting industries rather than into export industries. As a measure of relative incentives, effective protection rates (EPRs) -- or rates of protection of value added, defined as the proportionate

difference between domestic and foreign value added -are more meaningful than actual (or legal) tariff rates
and nominal tariff rates, representing the excess of the
domestic price of a product over its international price,
since it is value added rather than the value of the product
that is contributed by the domestic activity being protected.
More specifically, EPRs include the subsidy to domestic
producers from the protection of outputs and the penalty
from the protection of inputs.

Section 2 of this paper gives a comparison of tariff
let in the Philippines relative to other ASEAN countries
in the late 1970s, and then describes the nature of Philippine tariff rate changes scheduled between 1980 and 1985. In
Section 3 the method of estimating sectoral EPRs in manufacturing for the two years is described; the resulting
estimates are presented and evaluated in Section 4. Related
trade and development issues, especially with reference to
current industrial policies and plans, are discussed in the
concluding section of this paper.

## The Tariff Reform

That legal tariff rates in the Philippines were generally higher than those of other ASEAN countries in the late seventies is evident from Table 1. Based on overall simple averages,

TABLE 1 - COMPARISON OF SIMPLE AVERAGES OF TARIFF RATES IN ASEAN COUNTRIES BY PSCC GROUPING, 1978 (in per cent)

| PSCC) | Category  | Indonesia | Malaysia | Philippines | Singapore | Thailand      | ASEAN         |
|-------|---|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|
| 0     | Food and live animals chiefly for food                              | 42.9      | 10.7     | 67.2        | 1.3       | ·42.6<br>62.4 | 33.0<br>199.2 |
| 1     | Beverages and tobacco   | 46.0      | 346,8    | 82.5        | 458,2     |               |               |
| 2     | Crude materials, inedible except<br>fuels                           | 14.2      | 2.8      | 27.4        | 0         | 18.4          | 12.6          |
| 3     | Mineral fuels, lubricants and related materials                     | 15.2      | 7.1      | 14.9        | 9.0       | 14.2          | 12,1          |
| 4     | Animal and vegetable oils, fats<br>and waxes                        | 30.0      | 0.3      | 43,9        | nil       | 24,7          | 19.8          |
| 5     | Chemicals and related products, n.e.s.                              | 26.8      | 19.2     | 41.1        | 37.2      | 28.1          | 30.5          |
| 6     | Manufactured goods classified chiefly by materials                  | 37.9      | 14.9     | 52.0        | 0.4       | 32.0          | 27.4          |
| 7     | Machinery and transport equipment                                   | 18.0      | 10.7     | 23.0        | 1.4       | 18.0          | 14.2          |
| 8     | Miscellaneous manufactured articles                                 | 49.9      | 19.0     | 68.9        | 3.4       | 37.8          | 35.1          |
| 9     | Commodities and transaction not<br>classified elsewhere in the PSOC | 2137      | 7.7      | 62.5        | 0         | 20.8          | 22.5          |
|       | Overal1   | 33.0      | 15.3     | 44.2        | 5.6       | 29,4          | 25.1          |

SCURCE: Tariff Commission (19/9).

the Philippines ranked highest (44.2%), followed by

Indonesia (33.0%) and Thailand (29.4%), with Malaysia (15.3%)

and Singapore (5.6%) having much lower average tariff levels.

Particularly noteworthy are the higher Philippine tariff

rates, compared to those in the other ASEAN countries, for

manufactured products (PSCC 5-8); this is markedly so for

the commodity categories consisting largely of finished

consumer products (PSSC 6 and 8).

The distribution of tariff rates in the Philippines
by BTN product category is shown in Tables 2 and 3 for 1980
(before the tariff reform was started) and for 1985 (after
its completion). The first point to note is that the highest
tariff levels of 70% and 100% would no longer apply and that
a new rate of 5% would be levied in 1985 on 30 items, mainly
from the categories of animal and common metal products
(BTN Sections I and XV). In terms of the overall average
tariff rate, a much lower level would prevail in 1985
compared to 1980 (27.9% vs. 43.1%). Moreover, the degree
of dispersion would also be lower, measured by either the
standard deviation or the coefficient of variation.

Indeed, the average tariff rates for all but one 1/

of the 21 commodity categories are scheduled to decline from

 $<sup>\</sup>pm/$ BTN Section XXI (Art and antiques) would retain the uniform tariff rate of 10% for the six items under this product category.

TABLE 2 - DESTRIBUTION OF TARIFF RATES, 1980

| -   | ENN SECTION                         | 10%                   | 20%    | TARIFF                 | 115   | 507,                  | 70%    | 100%   | MARKER<br>OF LINES | HEW  | STANDARD<br>DEVLATION | COMPFICION OF VARIATION |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|------------------------|-------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|     |                                     | 7                     |        | 1                      | 0     | 7                     | 3      | 17     | 40                 | 64.5 | 35.1                  | .545                    |
| T   | Aminals, and aminal derivatives     | (3.7.5)               | (2.5)  | (7.5)                  | (0.0) | (17.5)                | (12.5) | (42.5) | (100.00)           | 59.3 | 30.1                  | .507                    |
| 11  | Plant products                      | (4.1)                 | (17.8) | (8.2)                  | (1.4) | (15.1)                | (28.8) | (24.7) | (100.0)            | 49.0 | 26.4                  | .540                    |
| III | Fats and edible cils                | (10.0)                | (0.0)  | (30.0)                 | (5.0) | (30.0)                | (10.0) | (15.0) | (100.0)            | 34.4 | 34,5                  | .464                    |
| IV  | Food, beverage, and tobacco         | (8.8)                 | (7.4)  | (8.8)                  | (0.0) | (5.9)                 | (8.8)  | (60,3) | (0.00.0)           |      | 13.2                  | ,708                    |
| V   | Mindrals and fuels                  | (55.0)                | (30.0) | (1.7)                  | (0.0) | (13.3)                | (0.0)  | (0.0)  | (1,00.0)           | 18.7 |                       | .808                    |
| VI. | Noncogarde and organic chemicals    | 87                    | 61     | (10.4)                 | (0.0) | (12.4)                | (1.5)  | (2.5)  | (100.0)            | 23.2 | 18.7                  |                         |
| VII | Plastic and mabber products         | (63.1)                | (30.2) | 14                     | 0     | (39.5)                | (2.6)  | (0.0)  | (100.0)            | 36,8 | 13.2                  | ,358                    |
| 111 | Funs, hides, and leather products   | ( 0.0)                | (21,0) | (36.5)                 | (0.0) | 3                     | 8      | (33.5) | (100.0)            | 69.1 | 28.6                  | .416                    |
|     |                                     | (9.5)                 | (4.8)  | (0.0)                  | (0.0) | (14.3)                | (38.1) | 8      | 37                 | 51.1 | 31.7                  | .620                    |
| IX. | Wood and cork products              | (16.2)                | (10.8) | (13.5)                 | (0.0) | (24,3)                | (13.5) | (21.6) | (100.0)            | 54.0 | 34.9                  | .647                    |
| X   | Pulp, paper and paper products      | (17.0)                | (3.8)  | (26.4)                 | (0.0) | (15.1)                | (5.7)  | (32.1) | (100.0)            | 56.5 | 31.7                  | .561                    |
| XI. | Textiles and derivatives            | (9.0)                 | (9.8)  | (18.8)                 | (3.0) | (13.5)                | (19.6) | (26.3) | (100.0)            | 79.6 | 25.6                  | ,321                    |
| XII | Footsear and miscellaneous products | (0,0)                 | (8.3)  | (0.0)                  | (0.0) | (12.5)                | (25.0) | (54.2) | (100.0)            |      |                       | .579                    |
| IID | Gless and ceremic products          | 4                     | 12     | (17.7)                 | (3.2) | (24.2)                | (14.5) | (14.5) | (100.0)            | 47.9 | 27.7                  |                         |
| XIV | Precious stones and metals          | (6.5)                 | (19.4) | 0                      | .0    | 0                     | (0.0)  | 15     | 19                 | 82.6 | 33.7                  | .408                    |
| XV  | Common metals and products          | (5.3)<br>38<br>(23.5) | 31     | (.0,0)<br>43<br>(26.5) | (0.6) | (0.0)<br>29<br>(17.9) | (8.0)  | ( 4.3) | 162                | 33.3 | 22.7                  | .682                    |

Table 2 (continued)

|      |   |               | TARIFF FACES  |                        |         |            |       |                     | NAMES                    |       | STATUARD  | CONFFICIENT |
|------|---|---------------|---------------|------------------------|---------|------------|-------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------|-----------|-------------|
|      | BON SECTION                                 | 105           | 20%           | 30%                    | 40%     | 50%        | 70%   | 100%                | OF ITEES                 | NEAR  | DEVIATION | VARIATION   |
| XVI  | Machinery                                   | 59            | 11            | 32                     | 0       | 27         | 5     | 8                   | 142                      | 30.1  | 24.1      | 1802        |
| XVII | Transportation equipment                    | 25            | (7.8)         | (22.5)<br>10<br>(22.2) | (0.0)   | (19.0)     | (3.5) | (5.6)<br>3<br>(6.7) | (200.0)<br>45<br>(100.0) | 26.0  | 25.6      | .985        |
| WIII | Precision instruments and other instruments | 20            | 14            | 16                     | 2       | 7          | 3     |                     | 61                       | 26.6  | 18.6      | .701        |
| XIX  | Arms and mandtions                          | (32.8)        | (23.0)        | (26.2)                 | (0,0)   | (11.5)     | (4.9) | (1.6)               | (100.0)                  | 82.9  | 14.9      | .179        |
| XX   | Parofture, toys, and miscellaneous products | 2             | 4             | 6                      | 0       | (0.0)      | 3     | (42,9)              | (100,0)                  | 72,8  | 33.0      | ,453        |
| 300  |   | (100.0)       | 0             | (12.0)                 | (0,0)   | (14.0)     | (6.0) | (56.0)              | (100.0)                  | 10.0  | 0,0       | _000        |
|      | Comeral Tariff Schedule                     | 322<br>(24.3) | 206<br>(15.6) | 219 (16.6)             | 9 (0.7) | 203 (15.3) | 126   | 238 (15,0)          | 1323<br>(100.0)          | 43.11 | 32.20     | 0.747       |

SOUNCE: Toriff and Oustons Code of 1978.

NOTE: Figures in parentheses are percentages of total number of items under each BTM section.

TABLE 3 - DISTRIBUTION OF TARRES RATES, 1985

|      |                                     |        | TARLEY BATE |              |        |        |         | NABER    |       | STANDARD  | CONTRACTOR |
|------|-------------------------------------|--------|-------------|--------------|--------|--------|---------|----------|-------|-----------|------------|
|      | BIN SECTION                         | 2%     | 10%         | 20%          | 30%    | 60%    | 50%     | OF THESE | MESS  | DEVIATION | RIATTON    |
| 1    | Animals, and animal derivatives     | 17     | 11          | 1            | 3      | 1      | 32      | 65       | 29.9  | 20.8      | 694        |
| **   |                                     | (26.2) | (16.9)      | (1.5)        | (4.6)  | (1.5)  | (49.3)  | (100.0)  | 37.2  | 15.2      | .408       |
| II   | Planc products                      | ( 0.9) | (7.0)       | (34.6)       | (10.5) | (1.7)  | (55.3)  | (100.0)  | 29.2  | 11.9      | ,407       |
| III  | Facs and edible oils                | (0.0)  | (11.1)      | (33.3)       | (16.7) | (30.6) | (.8.3)  | (100.0)  |       |           | .418       |
| TV   | Food, beverage, and tobacco         | (1.8)  | (14.4)      | (9.0)        | (10.8) | (5,4)  | (58.6)  | (100.0)  | 38.0  | 15.9      |            |
| V.   | Minerals and fuels                  | 0      | 60          | 79           | 9      | 1      | 0       | 99       | 15.1  | 7.0       | .466       |
| VI   | Nevergente and organic chestokia    | (0.0)  | (60.6)      | (29.3)<br>81 | (9.1)  | (1.0)  | -(0.0)  | (100.0)  | 17.6  | 10.1      | .575       |
|      |                                     | (.0.0) | (52.4)      | (29.7)       | (12.1) | (1.8)  | (4.0)   | (1.00.0) | 26.2  | 9.5       | , 164      |
| VII  | Plastic and rubber products .       | (0.0)  | (3.5)       | (37.7)       | (44.4) | (1.3)  | (-8.0)  | (1.00.0) | 30.00 | 15.81     | ,527       |
| VIII | Purs, hides, and leather products   | (0.0)  | (30.5)      | (2.8)        | (33.3) | (2.8)  | (30.6)  | (100.0)  |       |           |            |
| TX   | Wood and cork products              | 0      | 12          | 10           | 8      | 6      | (26.5)  | (100.0)  | 29.6  | 15.4      | 320        |
| ×    | Pulp, paper and paper products      | (0.0)  | (24.5)      | (20.4)       | (16.3) | (12.3) | 14      | 104      | 30,2  | 13.3      | ,440       |
|      |                                     | (0.0)  | (17.3)      | (22.1)       | (15.4) | (31.7) | (13.5)  | (100,0)  | 39.1  | 11.9      | ,303       |
| XI   | Textiles and derivotives            | ( 0.0) | (1.7)       | (15.9)       | (17.2) | (19.9) | (45,3)  | (100.0)  | 46,25 | 9.04      | 195        |
| XXX  | Photosar and edscellaneous products | (0.0)  | (0,0)       | (8.3)        | ( 4.2) | (4.2)  | (83,3)  | (100.0)  |       |           |            |
| XIII | Class and cerumic products          | 0      | 7           | 11           | (23.1) | (16.9) | (32, 3) | (100.0)  | 34.3  | 13.7      | ,399       |
| ,XXV | Proclous stones and metals          | (0.0)  | (10.8)      | (16.9)       | (0.0)  | (0,0)  | (82,1)  | (100.0)  | 43.2  | 14.6      | ,339       |

Table 3 (continued)

|      | BTH: SECTION                       | 1000        | TAPEYF RATE   |               |                     |       |                   |                 |      |                       | CONFFICIENT     |
|------|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|------|-----------------------|-----------------|
|      | Division and a second              | 5%          | 10%           | 20%           | 30%                 | 40%   | 50%               | OF ITEMS        | MEAN | STANDARD<br>DEVIATION | OF<br>VARIATION |
| 27.9 | Counce metals and products         | 10          | 83            | 56<br>(22.2)  | 67                  | 14    | 22                | 252             | 22.5 | 12.8                  | ECO -           |
| XVI  | Machinery                          | (4.0)       | (32.9)        | (22.2)        | 67<br>(26.6)<br>104 | (5.6) | 22<br>(8,7)<br>35 | (100.0)         |      |                       | . 568           |
| MI   | Transportation equipment           | (0.0)       | (22.0)        | (37.2)        | (29.3)              | (1.7) | (9,8)             | (100.0)         | 24.0 | 11.4                  | .475            |
| wiii | Precision instruments and other    | (0.0)       | (49,3)        | (9.90)        | (18.3)              | (0.0) | (22,5)            | (100.0)         | 23.7 | 16.0                  | .678            |
|      | instruments                        | 0           | 41            | 25            | 20                  | 2     | 11                | 99              | 21.6 | 12.9                  | .598            |
| XXX  | Actus and mendtions                | (0.0)       | (41.4)        | (25,3)        | (20,2)              | (2.0) | (11.1)            | (100.0)         |      |                       |                 |
| XX   | Furniture, toys, and miscellaucous | (0.0)       | (0.0)         | (0.0)         | (11.1)              | (0,0) | (88,9)            | (100.0)         | 47.8 | 6,7                   | ,140            |
|      | products                           | (0,0)       | (4,4)         | (13.0)        | (17.4)              | 3     | 27                | 46              | 40.2 | 12.9                  | .322            |
| XXI  | Arts and antiques                  | 0           | 6             | 0             | 0                   | (6,5) | (58.7)            | (100.0)         | 10.0 | 0.0                   | .000            |
|      |                                    | (0.0)       | (100.0)       | ( 0.0)        | (0.0)               | (0,0) | (0.0)             | (100.0)         |      | 7,14                  | .000            |
|      | General Tariff Schedule            | 30<br>(1.3) | 557<br>(24.3) | 540<br>(23.5) | 459<br>(20.0)       | 165   | 544 (23.7)        | 2295<br>(100,0) | 27.9 | 15.0                  | . 539           |

SOURCE: Tariff and Oustons Gode 1982

NOTE: Pigures in perentheses are percentages of total number of items under each BTM section.

Some of the more significant tariff reductions, i.e., by at least 25 percentage points, would apply to: animal and animal derivatives (BTN I); food, beverages and tobacco (IV); furs, hides and leather products (VIII); footwear and miscellaneous products (XII); previous stones and metals (XIV); arms and numetiars (XIX); and furnitures, toys and miscellaneous products (XX). This is due in large part to the elimination of the peak rates (70% and 100%) which were levied earlier for many items under these BTN categories.

Since most of these items would continue to have the highest tariff rate (50%) in 1985, the above-mentioned BTN categories display the highest average tariff levels both before and after the tariff reform.

## 3. Estimating Effective Protection Rates

Actual measures of effective protection that have appeared in the empirical literature vary according to the purposes for which they are used. Differences in estimation methods and underlying assumptions imply noncomparability of EPR estimates derived by different investigators. 1/ In

<sup>1/</sup>This is well illustrated by "the lack of harmony in the results" obtained in four independent studies of effective protection of manufacturing in Peninsular Malaysia for the same years, as observed by Shepherd (1980).

of different policy instruments on the effective protection rate. On such basis Tan (1979) has concluded that in 1974 the tariff system was the most important source of effective protection to domestic manufacturing industries, while indirect taxes and BOI fiscal incentives were relatively minor instruments affecting insubstantially the overall pattern of sectoral effective protection rates.

In the present study we are interested in the assessment of how the scheduled tariff changes from 1981 to 1985 would affect relative incentives for manufacturing industries, abstracting from any changes that might be implemented in other policy areas. While tariffs are not the only determinant of effective protection, the fact that other policy instruments such as indirect taxes and fiscal incentives are currently being reviewed and have not assumed yet any definite shape for 1985 would seem to justify their omission In the EPR calculation for present purposes. Export taxes are also not incorporated in our EPR measure in view of the widespread exemption of industries in the past unfavorably affected by depressed export prices (as what happened to many export commodities during 1980-81). More appropriately, therefore, the effective protection measure used in this study can be called the "effective tariff protection rate" (ETPR).

The wedge between foreign (or free trade) and domestic prices created by tariffs is evident in the following representation of foreign and domestic value added per unit output ( $v_j$  and  $v_j'$ , respectively):

(1) 
$$v_j = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} i_i$$
,  $v'_j = (1+t_j) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1+t_i)$ 

where the product price is taken to be unity, the  $a_{ij}$ 's are the input coefficients in foreign prices, and  $t_i$  and  $t_j$  are ad valorem tariff rates on material input i and output j, respectively. Note that tariff protection is redundant for emertables, so that  $t_j = 0$  for such commodities.

Equation (1) embodies the standard assumptions in the effective protection literature that: (1) inputs in production are not substitutable; (2) production is carried out under constant cost conditions; (3) foreign supply of importables is perfectly elastic; and (4) the general equilibrium repercussions of tariffs are negligible. 1/

l/Implying no significant induced changes in technology, factor prices, final demand and related variables.

By definition, the effective protection rate for the activity producing output j is given by

(2) 
$$E_j = \frac{v_i' - v_j}{v_j}$$
.

Substituting (1) into (2) yields, after simplification, the familiar expression

(3) 
$$E_{j} = \frac{t_{j} - \frac{r}{i}a_{ij}t_{i}}{1 - \frac{r}{i}a_{ij}}$$
.

In empirical measurement of effective protection, "free trade " input coefficients are hard to come by; published input-output tables normally contain technical coefficients (a'ij) expressed in domestic prices. Using the latter coefficients, we can modify (1) as follows:

(4) 
$$v_j = \frac{1}{1+t_j} - \sum_{i} \frac{a_{ij}^i}{1+t_i}$$
.  $v_j^i = 1 - \sum_{i} a_{ij}^i$ 

and hence

/ (5) 
$$E_j = \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{a_{ij}}}{(\frac{1}{1+t_j} - \sum_{i=1}^{a'_{ij}})} - 1$$
.

Some of the implications of (3) or (5) are that:

(1) other things the same, a higher  $E_j$  results from a higher  $5_j$  and lower  $t_i^{\gamma}$ 's; (2) if tariff rates are uniform (i.e.,  $t_i = t_j$ ), then  $E_j = t_j$ ; and (3) if value added is a small proportion of the product price (i.e.,  $\sum_i a_{ij}$  is high), a law  $t_j$  combined with lower  $t_i$ 's can lead to a very high  $E_j$ .

Equation (5) was used in the calculation of effective protection rates for manufacturing industries in the present study. Nontradible inputs were treated as part of value added, so the a<sub>ij</sub>'s used pertain only to the tradable inputs. The technical coefficients from the 120 x 120 input-output table for 1974 prepared by the National Census and Statistics Office (NCSO) were tabled, after adjusting for relative price changes between 1974 and 1980. 1/.2/ Tariff rates for 1980 were drawn from the Tariff and Customs Code 1978, with appropriate adjustments for some changes in tariff levels during 1979-80; on the other hand, tariff rates for 1985 were extracted from the recently published Tariff and Customs Code 1982.

A less disagregative (63 x 63) input-output table for 1978 is available from the NCSO, but which was derived also from the 1974 input-output table with price adjustment.

<sup>2/</sup>Two Central Bank wholesale price indices were used: the home consumption WPI to adjust for input price changes and the domestic production WPI to adjust for output price changes.

## 4. Sectoral EIPR Estimates in Manufacturing

Table 4 presents the estimated effective tariff protection rates for 67 manufacturing industries— for 1980 and 1985.

It would appear that the tariff reform, if implemented fully, will significantly lower the average level of effective protection to domestic industries from 69% in 1980 to 30% in 1985. At the same time, disparities in ETPRs across industries will be reduced substantially, based on a comparison of the computed values of either the standard deviation or the coefficient of variation. These general findings would conform to the declared objective of tariff reform that adjustments will be made to reduce the overall level of protection to domestic industries and to even out the spread in protection rates among industry sectors.

Examining individual sector ETPR changes between 1980 and 1985, one finds a preponderance of decreasing levels with only 8 sectors 2/ showing increases in ETPR (some of which appear insignificant), as a result of the tariff reform.

Corresponding to the number of sectors within manufacturing distinguished in the NCSO's 120 x 120 input-output table for 1974.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{2}{\text{These}}$  are I-O sectors 63, 66, 72, 73, 78, 79, 80 and 90 (cf. Table 4).

TABLE 4 - ESTIMATES OF EFFECTIVE TARIFF PROTECTION RATES IN PHILIPPINE MANUFACTURING, 1980 and 1985 (in per cent)

| I-0 No.              | SECTOR  | 1980   | 1985  |
|----------------------|---|--------|-------|
|                      |   | 809.5  | 178.4 |
| 26                   | Meat products                                   | 62.3   | 30.4  |
| _27                  | Dairy products                                  | 97.8   | 98.0  |
| 28                   | Rice milling                                    |        | - 0.9 |
| 29                   | Sugar milling and refining                      | - 1.1  |       |
| 30                   | Processed fruits and vegetables                 | 223.0  | 72.4  |
| 31                   | Processed fish and other seafoods               | 872.9  | 215.9 |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34 | Other grain mill products                       | 176.7  | 74.9  |
| 22                   | Bakery products                                 | 127.1  | 44.2  |
| 2/1                  | Cocoa, chocolate and sugar confectionery        | 71.2   | 30.1  |
| 35                   | Desiccated coconut products                     | - 3.9  | - 2.6 |
| 36                   | Other marufactured foods                        | 94.8   | 36.7  |
| 37                   | Liquors, wines, brewery and malt products       | 84.7   | 44.3  |
| 20                   | Soft drinks and carbonated water                | 1.27.5 | 69.5  |
| 38                   |   | 61.8   | 29.7  |
| 39                   | Tobacco products                                | 61.4   | 36.0  |
| 40                   | Textile and knitting mill products              | - 9.3  | - 7.4 |
| 41                   | Cordage, twine and other textile products       | - 3.1  | - 2.2 |
| 42                   | Footwear  | -10.5  | - 7.8 |
| 43                   | Other wearing apparel                           | 93.3   | 48.0  |
| 44                   | Other made-up textile goods                     |        |       |
| 45                   | Lunber  | -1.8   | 1.0   |
| 46                   | Plywood and veneer plants                       | -18.1  | -13.4 |
| 47                   | Furniture and fixtures                          | - 5.2  | - 4.0 |
| 48                   | Other wood, cane and cork products              | - 4.6  | - 3.4 |
| 49                   | Pulp, paper and paperboard manufacturing        | 47.5   | 29.3  |
| 50                   | Articles of pulp, paper and paperboard          | 158.5  | 58.1  |
| 51                   | Newspaper, periodicals, books and pamphlets     | 27.7   | 17.5  |
| 52                   | Printing, bookbinding and other allied products | 51.5   | 28.6  |
| 53                   | Leather and leather products except for         |        |       |
| 22                   | footwear and other wearing apparel              | -10.7  | - 8.4 |
|                      | Rubber footwear                                 | 6.0    | 1.7   |
| 54                   |   | 54.0   | 39.5  |
| 55                   | Tires, tire vulcanizing and recapping           | 26.2   | 17.9  |
| 56                   | Other rubber products                           | 14.0   | 12.8  |
| 57                   | Basic industrial chemicals                      | -0. 7  | - 0.6 |
| 58                   | Cocomut oil                                     | 64.9   | 33.5  |
| 59                   | Other oils and fats                             |        | 16.   |
| 60                   | Fertilizer and lime                             | 23.2   | 26.   |
| 61                   | Paints, varmishes and related compounds         | 39.5   |       |
| 62                   | Plastic materials                               | 44.3   | 23.3  |
| ,63                  | Medicinal and pharmaceutical preparations       | 0.1    | 77.   |
| 64                   | Soap and other washing and cleansing compounds  | 98.5   | 51.   |
| 65                   | Other chemical products                         | 47.0   | 34.   |

Table 4 (continued)

| I-0 No. | Sector   | 1980  | 1985  |
|---------|--|-------|-------|
| 66      | Petroleum refineries and other                   |       |       |
| 200     | petroleum products                               | 12,4  | 12.6  |
| 67      | Hydraulic cement                                 | -10.1 | - 8.9 |
| 68      | Structural clay and concrete products            | 69.4  | 56.7  |
| 69      | Glass and glass products                         | 54.6  | 41.7  |
| 70      | Other non-metallic mineral products              | 54.3  | 36.7  |
| 71      | Basic ferrous metal industries                   | 19.1  | 12.6  |
| 72      | Basic non-ferrous metal industries               | 15.3  | 16.7  |
| 73      | Outlery, handtools and general hardware          | 52.0  | 55.7  |
| 74      | Fabricated structural metal products             | -10.3 | - 8.2 |
| 75      | Heating apparatus, lighting and plumbing fixture |       | 63.6  |
| 76      | Other fabricated metal products                  | 68.4  | 50.2  |
| 77      | Tractors and other agricultural machinery        | 00.4  | 20,2  |
| 11      | and equipment                                    | 27.0  | 13.7  |
| 78      | Special industry machinery                       | 16.3  | 21.0  |
| 79      | General industry machinery and equipment         | 10.5  | 21.0  |
|         | (excluding electrical)                           | 17.8  | 25.9  |
| 80      | Office, computing and accounting machines        | 4,.0  |       |
|         | (excluding electrical)                           | 12.7  | 15.4  |
| 81      | Electrical industrial machinery and apparatus    | 38.5  | 35.2  |
| 82      | Commication equipment excluding radio, TV        | 47.9  | 10.9  |
| 83      | Batteries  | 84.9  | 13.6  |
| 84      | Electric lamps, fixtures, wires and              | 01.5  | 25.0  |
|         | wiring devices                                   | 25.5  | 16.0  |
| 85      | Household radio, TV receiving sets, phonos       | 35.5  | 12.0  |
| 86      | Refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment     | 76.4  | 44.1  |
| 87      | Other household electrical appliances and wares  | 77.9  | 34.1  |
| 88      | Motor vehicles, engines, bodies and parts        | 31.9  | 26.8  |
| 89      | Repair of motor vehicles (nontradable)           |       |       |
| 90      | Ship building and repairing                      | 7.0   | 15.1  |
| 91      | Other transport equipment                        | 42.1  | 38,6  |
| 92      | Miscellaneous manufactures                       | 90.7  | 45.7  |
| 34      | 1123CCALINITIONS INTRINSICULOS                   | 10.00 | -5.1  |
|         | Average  | 70.3  | 31.0  |
|         | Standard Deviation                               | 144.3 | 37.7  |
|         | Coefficient of Variation                         | 2,05  | 1.22  |

The above pattern of sectoral ETPRs for 1980 is similar to that obtained earlier by Tan (1979) for 1974. This is not surprising in view of the dominance of tariffs vis-à-vis other policy instruments affecting relative incentives (as pointed out above) and the fact that there had not been much significant tariff rate changes between 1974 and 1980.

985

110

By end-use category, consumer goods industries on the whole continued to be highly protected in 1980 while industries producing capital goods, intermediate goods and inputs-into-construction were effectively being discriminated against, as shown in Table 5. Even after the tariff reform in 1985, however, the same direction of bias is evident from the table, notwithstanding the general reduction in the average effective protection levels for the four categories of industries.

While the consumer goods sectors are seen to have the largest decline in average ETPR from 1980 to 1985, they would continue to enjoy the highest tariff protection, having an average ETPR about 12 percentage points above the average for all manufacturing.

At the other extreme, intermediate goods industries, which already were being accorded generally low tariff protection in 1980, face substantial ETPR cuts that will reduce their average effective protection rate to about one half its 1980 value and 17 percentage points below the

TABLE 5: AVERAGE EFFECTIVE TARIFF PROTECTION RATES BY END-USE CATEGORY, 1980 AND 1985 (in per cent)

| Sectors Producing        | 1980  | 1985 |
|--------------------------|-------|------|
| Consumption goods        | 115.0 | 43.2 |
| Intermediate goods       | 26.8  | 14.0 |
| Inputs-into-construction | 31.5  | 24.7 |
| Capital goods            | 23.9  | 19.6 |
| All manufacturing        | 70.3  | 31.0 |

Source: Appendix Tables 1-4.

average for all manufacturing. The capital goods sectors' average ETPR would also decrease, although not drastically, which direction again is opposite to what is warranted by a more uniform ETPR structure.

Therefore, while a significant improvement of the tariff system would be achieved by 1985 in terms of reducing the overall ETPR and the dispersion of sectoral rates around the mean value, there will still be room for additional rationalization of the structure of tariffs. This would generally entail a further reduction in the protection of sectors producing consumer goods and raising those of the intermediate (excluding inputs-into-construction) and capital goods sectors.

It should be noted that, within each of these industry categories, there are also disparities in the estimated effective protection rates for 1985. As shown in Appendix Tables 1-4, sectoral ETPR differences are largest among the consumer and intermediate goods sectors. This is due in targe part to the composition of these two industrial groupings, which include both export-oriented industries with low or

½/Such as footwear, other wearing apparel, furniture and fixtures, certain wood products and other leather products within the consumer goods category and sugar milling, desicated coconut, cordage and coconut oil within the intermediate goods category.

negative ETPRs and import-substituting industries characterized by markedly higher ETPRs.

reform, a number of industries would continue to be heavily protected. The extreme examples are meat products and processed fish and other seafoods with estimated ETPRs of 178% and 216%, respectively, for 1975. Post-1985 tariff revisions need to be directed to such industries if excessive profits and/or low levels of efficiency, which are associated with high ETPRs are to be discouraged.

### 5. Concluding Remarks

As pointed out earlier, the 1981-85 tariff revisions are part of a larger effort to improve the existing policy climate and make it more conducive to the efficient development of domestic manufacturing industries. The above findings point to a relatively substantial liberalization of tariff policy by 1985, given the scheduled tariff changes, in terms of the overall reduction in effective protection and the narrowing of the disparities in sectoral rates. Of course, it remains to be seen whether the tariff changes will be fully implemented.

Also, it would appear that there is room for further improvements, i.e., in lowering the protection rates on consumption goods and raising those on intermediate products and capital goods, if the objective is to move toward uniformity of effective tariff protection to manufacturing industries. Apropos this, two points may be noted: (1) equal effective tariff protection rates should ideally be sought not only for manufacturing industries but for all tradable goods-producing industries; and (2) other policy instruments need to provide offsetting subsidies to export industries to the extent of the nominal protection to domestic sales accorded by the uniform tariff structure. Protection policy ( a more appropriate term is "promotion policy") in the foregoing sense is neutral in that it does not distort relative prices. No discrimination arises other than that which comes naturally out of the price system. According to standard economic theory, this would not only allocate resources to their most efficient uses but also distribute goods such that consumer welfare is maximized for any given distribution of income.

A distorted tariff structure could of course serve certain objectives; it could expand output in particular industries, or it could redistribute income, or it could improve the balance of payments. But even these objectives can be achieved by other means that do not have the undesireable side effects of misallocating resources and limiting consumption. Providing direct subsidies to industries could stimulate production without restricting consumption; for redistributing income within a country direct taxes and transfers are superior to tariffs; 1/2 while balance of payments problems are better tackled thorugh exchange rate, monetary and fiscal policies. In general, it is desirable to address policy instruments to problems that can be dealt with in the most direct manner.

Producers tend to prefer tariffs to subsidies. Perhaps the latter's visibility makes them less attractive; also, direct subsidies are somehow regarded as incompatible with the ethic of private enterprise but the implicit subsidy from tariff protection apparently is not. But it is precisely the fact that subsidies are visible to the general public and represent a direct cost to the government that may prevent the perpetuation of a protection policy heavily biased toward certain industries.

Any kind of policy reform leads to differential gains and losses across both producing and consuming sectors.

A differential tariff structure is also not needed as a means of taxing luxury goods. A more efficient instrument would be a set of luxury consumption taxes applicable to both imported and domestically produced goods.

Resistance to a movement for a more neutral tariff system would come from producer interests in the affected industries, i.e., those being faced with a significant reduction in effective protection rates, which in view of the protracted nature of the country's import substitution policies (cf. Baldwin, 1975) might prove to be more politically powerful than producer and consumer interests in general.

Failing to stem the tide of tariff reform, vested interests could focus their attention on nontariff barriers, especially in the area of import licensing, which also lead to a divergence between foreign and domestic prices. It is however a declared policy of the government that import restrictions will be liberalized as part of the "industrial structural adjustment" program. \( \frac{1}{2} \) To the extent that the program is faithfully implemented, \( \frac{3}{2} \) domestic industries

<sup>1/</sup>From the original list of 1,300 banned import items, 264 were removed in 1981. "Another 610 were taken off the list last month (February 1982) and the plan is to abolish the whole list by next year" (Times Journal, March 4, 1982 issue).

 $<sup>2/{</sup>m The}$  program also includes other policy measures relating to export promotion, investment incentives and administration, and revitalization of specific industries.

That there is actual resistance to the scheduled implementation of some aspects of the trade liberalization component of the program is clear from the reported (cf. Times Journal issue cited earlier) reimposition of restrictions on imports of certain durable consumer goods, mostly household appliances, two weeks after a Central Bank circular was issued removing the 24 items involved from the list of banned imports. According to the news report, "the sudden policy shift was in reaction to strong criticism from local household appliance manufacturers".

can be expected to be reoriented "toward more efficient use of resources which will make them more competitive by international standards and allow them to develop in line with the country's comparative advantage".  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

The important point should be made that, in the above context, government is part of "producer interests". The last few years have witnessed a sharply increased participation of public corporations and their subsidiaries in manufacturing activities, and this is bound to increase with the active promotion of the so-called "eleven major industrial projects" (11 MIPs, for short). The latter represent a set of large-scale, capital-intensive projects expected to be established during 1983-87 "to provide the basic industrial infrastructure." About 12.5% of the 11 MIPs' total funding of \$4 billion is estimated to come from direct government budgetary appropriations; equity contributions of the National Development Company are being provided to, among others, the \$250 million copper smelter (34.4%) and the \$336 million phosphatic fertilizer plant (60%).

It is intended that the 11 MIPs "will produce vital commodities and intermediate inputs at internationally com-

University of the Phillippines System School of Respondes Labrary Diliman, Quezon City

<sup>1/</sup>Quoted from the Five-Year Philippine Development Plan, 1978-1982 (Updated for 1981 and 1982); p. 12.

petitive prices". — Given this objective, it would seem necessary that heavy protection from competing imports via increased tariffs and other import barriers be avoided; — indeed this consideration should be explicitly taken into account in the feasibility studies in order to establish true economic viability of the projects. — If this is not done, the country faces the likely prospect of being presented with huge white elephants.

<sup>1/</sup>Quoted from the Five-Year Philippine Development Plan 1978-1982 (updated for 1981 and 1982), p. 13.

<sup>2/</sup>If, on infant industry grounds, some protection (the more appropriate term is promotion) is warranted, it should apply in both domestic and foreign markets, i.e., the incentives should not favor domestic sales over exporting, and only over a specified period of time.

<sup>3/</sup>It is to be noted that independent researchers do not have access to the feasibility studies of the 11 MIPs, a situation not contributing to an informed public discussion.

#### REFERENCES

- Baldwin, R.E., Foreign Trade Regimes and Economic Development: The Philippines (New York: NBER, Inc., 1975).
- Bautista, R.M., J. H. Power and Associates, <u>Industrial</u>
  Promotion Policies in the Philippines (Metro Manila:
  Philippine Institute for Development Studies, 1979).
- International Labour Office, Sharing in Development in the Philippines (Geneva: ILO, 1974)
- Power, J.H. and G.P. Sicat, The Philippines: Industrialization and Trade Policies (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1971).
- Shepherd, G., "Policies to Promote Industrial Development", in K. Young, W. Bussink and P. Hasan, Malaysia: Growth and Equity in a Multiracial Society (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1980).
- \*Tan, N.A., "The Structure of Protection and Resource Flows in the Philippines", in R. Bautista, J. Power and Associates (1979).
  - Tariff Commission, Tariff Profiles in ASEAN (Metro Manila: NEDA, 1979).

# APPENDIX TABLE 1: ETPR ESTIMATES FOR CONSUMPTION CODS SECTORS IN MANUFACTURING (%)

| L-0 No. | SECTOR  | 1980      | 1985   |
|---------|---|-----------|--------|
|         |   | 809.48    | 173.45 |
| 26      | Meat products                                   | 62.32     | 30.38  |
| 27      | Dairy products                                  | 97.85     | 97.96  |
| 28      | Rice milling                                    |           | 72.41  |
| 30      | Processed fruits and vegetables                 | 223.03    | 215.89 |
| 31      | Processed fish and other seafoods               | 872.89    |        |
| 32      | Other grain mill products                       | 176.72    | 74.89  |
| 33      | Rekery products                                 | 127.09    | 44.17  |
| 34      | Cocoa, chocolate and sugar confectionary        | 71.18     | 30.13  |
|         | Other manufactured foods                        | 94.75     | 36.6   |
| 36      | Liquors, wines, brewery and malt products       | 84.73     | 44.3   |
| 37      | Liquors, wines, brewery and mare provided       | 127.52    | 69.5   |
| 38      | Soft drinks and carbonated water                | 61,78     | 29.6   |
| 39      | Tobacco products                                | 61.37     | 36.0   |
| 40      | Textile and knitting mill products              | - 3.13    | - 2.2  |
| 42      | Footwear  | -10.49    | - 7.8  |
| 43      | Other wearing apparel                           | 93.28     | 48.0   |
| 44      | Oner made-up textile goods                      |           | - 4.0  |
| 47      | Furniture and fixtures                          | - 5.19    | - 3.3  |
| 48      | Other wood, cane and cork products              | - 4.62    | - 3.3  |
| 50      | Articles of puln paper and paperboard           | 158.49    | 58.1   |
| 51      | Narramanam partodicals books and Damphiela      | 27.70     | 17.5   |
| 52      | Printing, bookbinding and other allied industri | les 51.51 | 28.5   |
| 53      | Leather and leather products except             |           |        |
| 23      | footwear and other wearing apparel              | -10.70    | - 8.4  |
| -1      |   | 6.00      | 1.6    |
| 54      | Rubber footwear                                 | 53.97     | 39.5   |
| 55      | Tires, tire vulcanizing and recapping           | 0.06      | 1.5    |
| 63      | Medicinal and pharmaceutical preparations       | te 98 48  | 51.2   |
| 64      | Soap and other washing and cleansing compound   | 35.48     | 11.9   |
| 85      | Household radio, TV receiving sets, phonos      |           | 44.1   |
| 86      | Refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment    | ,0.50     |        |
| 87      | Other household electrical appliances           | 77.86     | 34.1   |
|         | and wares                                       | 21 02     | 26.8   |
| 88      | Motor vehicles, engines, bodies and parts       | 31.93     | 38.6   |
| 91      | Other Transport equipment                       | 42.07     |        |
| 92      | Miscellaneous manufactures                      | 90.74     | 45.6   |
| -       |   |           | 12 1   |
|         | Average   | 115.01    | 43.1   |
|         | Standard Deviation                              | 195.52    | 47.4   |
|         | Prantate beareron                               |           |        |
| -       | Coefficient of Variation                        | 1.70      | 1.1    |

# APPENDIX TABLE 2: ETPR ESTIMATES FOR INTERMEDIATE GOODS SECTORS IN MANUFACTURING (%)

|         |   | and the second second |        |
|---------|---|-----------------------|--------|
| I-0 No. | SECTOR  | 1980                  | 1985   |
| 29      | Sugar milling and refining                        | - 1.12                | - 0.92 |
| 35      | Dessicated coconut products                       | - 3.86                | - 2,63 |
| 41      | Cordage, twine and other textile products         | - 9.26                | - 7.40 |
| 49      | Pulp, paper and paperboard manufacturing          | - 47.49               | 429.29 |
| 56      | Other rubber products                             | 26.20                 | 17,89  |
| 57      | Basic industrial chemicals                        | 13.97                 | 12.82  |
| 58      | Coconut oil                                       | - 0.73                | - 0,64 |
| 59      | Other oils and fats                               | 64.88                 | 33.47  |
| 60      | Fertilizer and lime                               | 23,20                 | 16,68  |
| 62      | Plastic materials                                 | 44.28                 | 23.15  |
| 65      | Other chemical products                           | 47.05                 | 34.34  |
| 66      | Petroleum mefineries and other petroleum products | 12,36                 | 12,61  |
| 83      | Batteries   | 83.91                 | 13.64  |
|         | Average   | . 26,80               | 14.02  |
|         | Standard Deviation                                | 27.76                 | 13,32  |
|         | Coefficient of Variation                          | 1.04                  | 0.95   |
|         |   |                       | -      |

## APPENDIX TABLE 3: ETPR ESTIMATES FOR INPUTS-INTO-CONSTRUCTION SECTORS IN MANUFACTUIRNG (%)

| I-O No. | SECTOR   | 1980   | 1985   |
|---------|--|--------|--------|
| 45      | Lumber   | - 1.76 | 1.02   |
| 46      | Plywood and veneer plants                          | -18.07 | -13.43 |
| 61      | Paints, varnishes and related compounds            | 39.54  | 26.29  |
| 67      | Hydraulic cement                                   | -10.08 | - 8.92 |
| 68      | Structural clay and concrete products              | 69.40  | 56.67  |
| 69      | Glass and glass products                           | 54.57  | 41.68  |
| 70      | Other non-metallic mineral products                | 54,33  | 36.70  |
| 71      | Basic ferrous metal industries                     | 19.07  | 12.56  |
| 72      | Basic non-ferrous metal industries                 | 15.28  | 16.66  |
| 73      | Cutlery, handtools and general hardware            | 52.01  | 55.69  |
| 74      | Fabricated structural metal products               | -10.31 | - 8.24 |
| 75      | Heating apparatus, lighting and plumbing fixtures  | 83,61  | 63.55  |
| 76      | Other fabricated metal products                    | 68,42  | 50.20  |
| 84      | Electric lamps, fixtures, wires and wiring devices | 25,53  | 15.98  |
|         | Average  | 31.54  | 24.74  |
|         | Standard Deviation                                 | 32,23  | 25.49  |
|         | Coefficient of Variation                           | 1.02   | 1.03   |

# APPENDIX TABLE 4: ETPR ESTIMATES FOR CAPITAL GOODS SECTORS IN MANUFACTURING (%)

| I-0 No. | SECTOR   | 1980  | 1985  |
|---------|--|-------|-------|
| 77      | Tractors and other agricultural machinery                          |       |       |
|         | and equipment  | 26,96 | 13.67 |
| 78      | Special industry machinery   | 16.33 | 21.03 |
| 79      | General industry machinery and equipment<br>(excluding electrical) | 17.79 | 25.94 |
| 80      | Office, computing and accounting machines (excluding electrical)   | 12.70 | 15.45 |
| 81      | Electrical industrial machinery and apparatus                      | 38.48 | 35.18 |
| 82      | Communication equipment excluding radio, TV                        | 47.91 | 10.86 |
| 90      | Shipbuilding .   | 7,02  | 15.14 |
|         | Average  | 23.88 | 19.61 |
|         | Standard Deviation   | 13.65 | 7.87  |
|         | Coefficient of Variation   | 0.57  | 0.40  |