

output. It has the added advantage in that the I-O transactions table could be used also to provide information on the indirect effect of output expansion on labor cost; this indirect component is often neglected in the estimation of the employment repercussions of export growth. Finally, if the employment problem is viewed in the context of existing disparities in income, compensation to labor seems the more appropriate measure (than the number of laborers in varying levels of underemployment) that can be related directly to any improvement in the earning capacity of a large part of the working poor.

[Changes in the number of employed workers attributable to the growth of manufactured exports of the Philippines will also be estimated in this study to provide the basis for evaluating the potential contribution of future export expansion in manufactures.] The objections raised above on the appropriateness of assuming a proportional relationship between the number of laborers and output produced probably least apply to the organized manufacturing industries, from which all manufactured exports are assumed to have been produced. This is because production laborers in large manufacturing establishments are generally hired for full-time work.⁵ At any rate it is of some interest, in view of the current emphasis on "outward-looking" industrialization policies, to obtain numerical orders of magnitude that

indicate the employment effects of manufacturing export growth and compositional change in terms of the amount of additional employment opportunities generated. ✓

III

The benchmark year for the purposes of this study is 1965. The choice is dictated by data availability more than anything else, the 97-sector input-output table prepared by the Bureau of the Census and Statistics being a major consideration.⁶ Our first task is to examine the composition of exports in 1965 and the extent to which the production of each export commodity has contributed directly and indirectly to employment (compensation of employees) in that year. Since input-output tables are not available for other years at such detailed level of disaggregation, the [input coefficients for 1965] will be used in later analysis to determine how the growth and changing pattern of exports over the years has contributed to employment generation.

Table 2 lists the 29 export commodity-producing industries accounting for nearly all merchandise exports (more than 99 per cent of total export earnings) in 1965. The heavy concentration of exports on a few industries is evident: 5 sectors account for nearly three-fourths (75.6 per cent) of the total export earnings. The principal export commodities can be identified readily from foreign

LE 2: 1965 Value of output, exports and compensation of employees in export-producing sectors, in thousand pesos

I-O No.	Name of I-O Sector	Value of Output	Value of Exports	Compensation of Employees
3	Coconut and copra	1,885,127	627,853	333,400
4	Abaca and other fibers	153,785	87,254	38,200
5	Tobacco	133,276	18,891	34,950
6	Fruits and vegetables	924,577	2,631	288,310
7	Forestry	911,499	520,435	333,400
8	Fishing	1,131,396	1,440	292,500
9	Metallic mining	350,537	295,390	75,200
10	Processed fruits and vegetables	144,746	40,367	20,300
11	Grain mill products	2,191,198	8,103	355,500
12	Sugar and confectioneries	1,096,216	504,708	81,800
13	Other food products	1,207,623	2,946	275,600
14	Brewery and malt products	165,569	2,264	31,100
15	Tobacco products	359,034	17,509	62,700
16	Knitting and textile mill products	806,120	3,335	189,500
17	Cordage and other native textiles	144,069	6,581	33,900
18	Footwear	114,432	232	23,500
19	Made-up textile products	865,695	98,002	87,200
20	Lumber	266,835	20,346	62,200
21	Plywood and veneer	170,385	98,999	28,000
22	Wood furniture	57,068	968	12,000
23	Other wood products	47,662	3,806	10,300
24	Paints and related compounds	79,333	1,088	12,700
25	Medical and pharmaceutical products	180,103	2,140	29,300
26	Washing and cleaning compounds	193,734	3,038	34,500
27	Desiccated and other coconut products	569,156	365,625	83,400
28	Petroleum refinery products	773,226	8,630	32,000
29	Other metal products	110,203	2,599	24,500
30	Professional, scientific equipment and parts	28,506	2,405	13,200
31	Other manufactured products	117,379	2,750	45,960
TOTAL		15,178,489	2,750,136	3,056,070

Source: Mijares (1971).

trade statistics published by the Central Bank. Of the total merchandise export earnings of \$767 million (f.o.b. value) in 1965, \$578 million were contributed by the following commodities: copra (\$170 million), logs and lumber (\$163 million), sugar (\$132 million), coconut oil (\$68 million), and copper concentrates (\$46 million).

From Table 2 the value of output attributable to the 29 export-producing industries was ₦14.4 billion in 1965, representing about one-fifth (20.6 per cent) of total production in the economy. These industries exported less than one-fifth of their output. Thus total exports constitute only about 4 per cent of the total value of output in 1965.

Direct compensation of employees (for brevity, wages) amounted to ₦3.1 billion in 1965, which is 28.8 per cent for all sectors combined. Including the indirect wage component⁷ yields ₦5.3 billion that is attributable to these export sectors. The direct wage content of output exported amounted to ₦571 million,⁸ comprising only 19.0 per cent of that for the entire output of the export industries and 5.5 per cent of total wage payments in 1965. [Finally, exports accounted for direct and indirect wages of ₦864 million, indicating that the indirect component is slightly over one-half (51.3 per cent) of the direct wage content.

The distribution of exports shows an extreme dependence on the primary producing sectors. Agriculture, forestry and fishing together contributed directly close to one-half (45.8 per cent) of the total value of exports from the 29 export industries. Moreover, most of the other industries with substantial export shares are agriculturally-based, e.g., sugar and confectionery, dessicated and other coconut products, etc. in that agricultural inputs bulk large in their production. An aggregate measure of such flow of agricultural inputs into the industries producing manufactured exports is given by the direct and indirect agricultural input content of exports from the manufacturing sector. This is obtained by multiplying the inverse coefficient matrix ($n \times n$) by a column vector of exports ($n \times 1$) containing zero values for the non-manufacturing sectors. The elements of the resulting column vector can then be grouped and totalled as follows:

Agriculture, forestry and fishing	P 738,697 thousand
Mining	1,630
Manufacturing	238,014 (net)
Construction	249
Transportation and Communication	46,248
Commerce	105,496
Services	75,753
TOTAL	P1,209.087 thousand

The total value of manufactured exports in 1965 is P1,196 million. Thus every peso of manufactured product exported has an agricultural content (direct and indirect) of P.62. It is also striking that inter-industry requirements within the manufacturing sector are very small: only P.20 worth of manufactured inputs goes directly and indirectly into every peso of manufactured export, and this is despite the high degree of disaggregation of the manufacturing industries.⁹ [Thus the backward linkage of the export-oriented manufacturing industries in terms of their material inputs impinges largely on the agricultural sector.] Among the tertiary industries, Commerce and Services are seen to contribute significantly to the direct and indirect requirements in the production of manufactured exports. Overall, what may be called the manufactured export multiplier is 1.011, [representing the net peso value of output directly and indirectly induced by each peso of manufactured exports] (under the usual assumptions of input-output analysis).

The 29 export-producing sectors are arranged in Table 3 in the order of decreasing share of total exports with the values of their wage coefficients also presented. [A strong positive correlation between sectoral export share and the two wage coefficients is not discernible from a cursory examination.] Linear regression of the sectoral

TABLE 3: Sectoral export shares and labor coefficients, 1965

BCS I-O No.	Name of I-O Sector	Per cent share of total exports*	Direct wage coefficient	Direct and indirect wage coefficient
03	Coconut and copra	20.25	.1769	.3218
09	Forestry	16.79	.3658	.4863
19	Sugar and confectioneries	16.28	.0839	.3526
45	Desiccated and other co- conut products	11.79	.1465	.3929
12	Metallic mining	9.53	.2146	.3104
31	Plywood and veneer	3.19	.1649	.3882
29	Made-up textile products	3.16	.1008	.2701
04	Abaca and other fibers	2.81	.2488	.3333
15	Processed fruits and vegetables	1.30	.1408	.2966
30	Lumber	.66	.2331	.4303
05	Tobacco	.60	.2623	.3490
24	Tobacco products	.56	.1747	.3529
48	Petroleum refinery products	.28	.0414	.0610
17	Grain mill products	.26	.1623	.4977
26	Cordage and other native textiles	.21	.2359	.3612
35	Other wood products	.12	.2173	.4210
25	Knitting and textile mill products	.11	.2352	.3116
44	Washing and cleaning compounds	.10	.1784	.3316
20	Other food products	.10	.2282	.4292
71	Other manufactured products	.09	.2591	.3316
06	Fruits and vegetables	.09	.3119	.3970
58	Other metal products	.08	.2228	.3303
70	Professional, scientific eqpt. and parts	.07	.4637	.4932
22	Brewery and malt products	.07	.1880	.2770
43	Medical and pharmaceutical products	.07	.1628	.2621
10	Fishing	.05	.3470	.4008
42	Paints and related cmpds.	.03	.1612	.3117
32	Wood furnitures	.03	.2105	.3783
28	Footwear	.01	.2058	.3761

*Including non-merchandise exports.

SOURCE: Mijares (1971).