

Institute of Economic Development and Research
SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS
University of the Philippines
Quezon City

Discussion Paper No. 72-19

June 22, 1972

PRELIMINARY DATA ON NON-FARM EMPLOYMENT CHANGES
IN AN AGRICULTURAL SUB-REGION

by

ARTHUR GIBB, JR.

NOTE: IEDR Discussion Papers are preliminary versions circulated privately to elicit critical comment. References in publications to Discussion Papers should be cleared with the author.

PRELIMINARY DATA ON NON-FARM EMPLOYMENT CHANGES

IN AN AGRICULTURAL SUB-REGION

by Arthur Gibb, Jr.

INTRODUCTION

This paper presents preliminary data from a case study of non-agricultural change in a sub-region of Nueva Ecija Province in Central Luzon. The study area was the immediate trade region of the town of Gapan, including three adjacent Rural Towns -- San Isidro whose municipality is well irrigated and thus relatively wealthy, Peñaranda which is upland and poor, and San Leonardo which occupies an intermediate position between the two. The study variables are non-agricultural jobs in formal establishments. Establishment surveys were used to reconstruct the history of such non-agricultural employment between 1961 and 1971, with special emphasis on 1967-1971. The year 1967 was the last year before the new high yielding varieties (HYVs) of rice became statistically significant to production in this monoculture, rice area. Yields between 1967-1971 rose roughly 25% in the area and rough calculations suggest farm family incomes probably rose by the same proportion over the period. The research is attempting to correlate changes in non-agricultural employment with this change in farm incomes.

In order to place the case study results in perspective, certain definitional problems must be clarified. The design originally posed the question, "what is the impact of agricultural modernization on non-farm employment?" "Non-farm" was finally defined as encompassing all

non-agricultural employment in and around agriculture market centers (AMCs), in other words all non-agricultural employment in the countryside with its towns and cities. Attempts to identify the magnitude of non-farm employment, using special tabulations of October, 1968, Labor Force Survey data, suggest the following breakdown of non-agricultural employment nationally:

Major Urban Areas	25%
"Countryside"	75%
20 Regional Centers	5%
Sub-Regions	70%

Major urban areas encompass Manila, Cebu, Iloilo, Davao, Iligan and their "urban shadows" plus various lesser non-agricultural enclaves. Thus, the twenty "Regional Centers" refer not to national regional centers but to agricultural market centers serving agricultural regions. In the case of Central Luzon these regions roughly coincide with the provinces. The "Gapan-area" is the core of the sub-region comprising southern Nueva Ecija. Gapan, the trade center for the sub-region, we classify as a Local Center. All other towns are classified Rural Towns.

It is clear from this disaggregation that non-farm employment, accounting for 75% of non-agricultural employment nationally -- and roughly 30% of all employment in the countryside -- is a strategic variable in labor absorption trends. What is also clear is that the sub-regions are the key areas, accounting as they do for 70% of the total. The Regional Center are not that important, especially when it is realized that a large part of the 5% of total non-agricultural employment in them is attributable to the Local Center function they perform with respect to the sub-region in which they are situated. (See I.E.D.R. Discussion

Paper 72-17, Evidence on Agriculturally-Based Cities, for a description of pattern of change in the Regional Center, Cabanatuan City, which serves the Gapan-area sub-region.)

Thus, an important point has emerged from the research. During the past decades of industrial- and export-led growth in LDCs, we have come to think of development as a process which works from the top down. But in the case of agriculture-led growth such as we are witnessing in the 1970s in the countryside, development moves from the bottom up. As a result, "intermediate urban areas" like the Regional Centers, which are currently being perceived as existing or potential lower-order "growth poles", are in fact the opposite. They are service centers whose growth is derived from that coming from below, from the sub-regions. They are importantly Local Centers for their sub-region, certain specialized functions required by the region being grafted onto them. This is not to say they could not be utilized as growth poles given appropriate policies for encouraging regional balance. But it appears that the solutions to the problems ^{of} rural-urban balance may be found at a lower level, in the sub-regions like the Gapan-area.

PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF GAPAN-AREA RESEARCH

The statistical appendices to this paper provide a breakdown of non-farm employment in the study area for 1961, 1967, and 1971 by industry. It is tabulated separately for each municipality. The first table (unnumbered) provides a summary by industry groups, showing 1971 employment and the percentage change between 1967-1971. A time-constraint prevents us from presenting a full analysis of this data at this time.

The main qualifications as regards this data are as follows.

First, it is gross data in a number of cases where the variable measured has substituted for an unmeasured industry. This is most relevant to tricycle employment which has substituted for calesa-related employment, estimates of which are not reflected in the data. The second case is of tailoring and dressmaking where only firms which are operating as formal establishments (usually in shops in town) are counted; those that moved to town from working at home have not been netted out. On the positive side, the data for tricycle and tailoring/dressmaking may be taken as valid indices of the "modernization" or "formalization" rates in these areas.

Second, the summary table is based on regular, full-time jobs, excluding the seasonal employment reported in the industry tables. Because non-agricultural firms in the Gapan-area avoid seasonal hiring and use overtime instead, this provides the most stable representation of changes in labor absorption. It does, however, understate the importance of the construction and rice milling and handling industries in the structure of employment. (Since the tables were prepared, new survey data has shown that the seasonal employment in rice milling is significantly overstated in them. It seems the Gapan-area mills also have managed to a considerable extent to avoid seasonal hiring, in part by throwing the burden of it back into the agriculture sector.)

Third, the data for 1961 is of uncertain quality as yet. It significantly understates the situation in some industries. In general, however, it provides useful orders of magnitude.

Fourth, industry tables are not provided for education (teachers) and a few other industries where it is not very complicated or significant.

A BRIEF ANALYSIS

One can see, by comparing the summary and industry tables, clear evidence of a significant positive income elasticity of demand -- which we know comes prominently from farm families -- for the following goods and services of local production: personal and recreation services (especially "eateries" and bowling/billiards/movies), tricycle services (very strong demand and profitability around 1968 was followed by strong entry and the demise of the calesa), clothing, secondary education (which is costly and essentially privately financed, whether in public or private institutions), house furnishings, and housing construction and up-grading.

The Manufacture for Regional Demands -- mainly slippers and rattan furniture -- is notable for two reasons. First, its customers are mainly from agricultural market centers so we may take its growth as being derived from agricultural modernization as well. Second, in accounting for nearly 10% of total non-farm employment and being mainly a creature of the last four years, it illustrates how important a specialty industry can be to a sub-region.

The Totals A, B, and C are tentative aggregations attempting to isolate the "income effect" (Total A) and the "output effect" (Total C) of higher farm productivity. Total B is a catch-all category which in the main is industries which are responding less directly to economic changes. In the latter, medical services are interesting in that they reflect the establishment of two small private hospitals in Gapan, facilities formerly

available only in the Regional Center. Also interesting is that government employment is definitely not a significant source of labor absorption.

Growth in Total C importantly reflects the fact Gapan is a rice milling center for more than its sub-region, bring palay in from areas farther north. There was a roughly 25% increase in mills between 1967-1971 as a result of the availability of liberal government financing for this purpose during the period.

Lastly, if one examines closely the summary table, one can discern the spreading of non-agricultural activity to the Rural Towns as the base of consumer spending has broadened. Gapan still is the "primate city" for certain services such as tailoring, surprisingly enough, where style-consciousness and the label is important. But relative stagnation of Gapan's construction materials manufacture and construction contractors reflects the Rural Towns' developing their own capabilities in these industries. The differential growth rates of secondary teachers in Gapan and the Rural Towns reflects the catching up of the latter as a larger reservoir of unmet demands is gradually being satisfied. (We have statistics on enrollments over the past 15 years to support this observation.) The relatively fast growth rate of tricycles in Rural Towns similarly reflects the broadened base of income and wealth. Most of these tricycles are owner-operated and the downpayments on their installment purchases are mainly financed by farm families, directly or indirectly.

In sum, the preliminary data shows clearly that non-agricultural employment in the sub-region has been significantly responsive to changes in agricultural incomes. The extent to which household consumption and

investment demands in the sub-region are satisfied by local production with a high local value-added will be the basis for developing further the argument that in the case of agriculture-led growth development occurs essentially from the bottom up, not from the top down.

Table

Labor Absorption inNON-AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES IN THE GAPAN-AREA, NUEVA ECIJA PROVINCE

	Gapan			Rural Towns*		
	Employment		% Increase	Employment		% Increase
	No.	%	('67-71)	No.	%	('67-71)
COMMERCE AND SERVICES						
Retail: Traditional Goods/Services	753	21	46	495	20	43
Retail: Non-Traditional Goods/Services	428		41	282		48
Personal and Recreational Services	68		42	11		120
	257		58	202		34
MFR. FOR HOUSEHOLD CONSUMPTION						
Manufacture for Local Demands	487	14	170	337	14	47
Manufacture for Regional Demands	158		93	139		25
	329		234	198		66
LIGHT TRANSPORT RELATED GROUP						
Tricycles, Jeeps, Light Repair Shops	653	18	140	454	18	274
	653		140	454		274
HOUSEHOLD INVESTMENT RELATED						
Secondary Education (Teachers)	428	12	24	214	9	65
Housing Components & Furnishings Mfr.	71		25	94		49
Construction Materials Mfr./Supply	68		74	39		70
Construction Contractors	152		6	51		76
	137		29	30		100
TOTAL A	(2,321)	(65)	(78)	(1,500)	(61)	(81)
PUBLIC SERVICES						
Governments	677	19	28	632	25	18
Primary Education (Teachers)	241		5	148		20
Medical Services	321		34	406		15
Utilities, etc.	70		125	54		23
	45		67	24		41
TOTAL B	(677)	(19)	(28)	(632)	(25)	(18)
AGRI. INPUTS, PROCESSING & TRADING						
Agricultural Implements & Supply	434	12	31	284	11	49
Rice Milling and Trading	28		75	3		-
Palay Traders & Independent Truckers	399		29	268		46
	7		-	13		-
HEAVY TRANSPORT & MACHINERY RELATED						
Mfr., Maintenance/Repair Firms	118	4	51	71	3	37
	118		51	71		37
TOTAL C	(552)	(16)	(35)	(355)	(14)	(41)
GRAND TOTAL	3,550	100	58	2,487	100	54

* Regular (Full-Time) Employment in 1971

Table -b

Labor Absorption in

RETAIL: NON-TRADITIONAL GOODS AND SERVICES

	<u>No. of Firms</u>			<u>Employment</u>			<u>Store Fronts in Use</u>		
	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>GAPAN</u>	30	19	6	68	48	14	35	24	10
Hardgoods	11	9	2	21	20	4	11	9	2
Hardware/Electrical Supply	13	7	4	36	22	10	18	12	8
Auto/Cycle Supply	6	3	-	11	6	-	6	3	-
<u>RURAL TOWNS</u>	9	3	-	11	5	-	9	3	-
San Isidro	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	-
San Leonardo	5	2	-	6	3	-	5	2	-
Peñaranda	2	1	-	3	2	-	2	1	-
<u>Hardgoods</u>	7	3	-	9	5	-	7	3	-
San Isidro	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
San Leonardo	4	2	-	5	3	-	4	2	-
Peñaranda	2	1	-	3	2	-	2	1	-
<u>Hardware/Electrical Supply</u>	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
San Isidro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Leonardo	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
Peñaranda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Auto/Cycle Supply</u>	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
San Isidro	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
San Leonardo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Peñaranda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>GAPAN AREA TOTALS</u>	39	22	6	79	53	14	44	27	10

Table -c

Labor Absorption in
PERSONAL AND RECREATIONAL SERVICES

	<u>No. of Firms</u>			<u>Employment</u>			<u>Store Fronts in Use</u>		
	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>GAPAN</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>257</u>	<u>163</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>101</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>35</u>
Restaurant/Refreshment	36	22	10	108	65	30	38	28	13
Billiards/Bowling/Movies	12	8	5	32	27	21	13	9	5
Barber/Beauty Shops	34	19	6	65	34	7	34	19	6
Other	16	13	11	52	37	30	16	13	11
<u>RURAL TOWNS</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>202</u>	<u>151</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>29</u>
San Isidro	43	26	14	69	44	17	43	26	14
San Leonardo	39	22	8	87	62	9	39	22	8
Peñaranda	16	11	7	46	45	12	16	11	7
<u>Restaurant/Refreshment</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>3</u>
San Isidro	10	4	-	23	11	-	10	4	-
San Leonardo	13	9	1	30	21	1	13	9	1
Peñaranda	4	2	2	7	4	4	4	2	2
<u>Billiards/Bowling/Movies</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>
San Isidro	7	-	-	11	-	-	7	-	-
San Leonardo	9	3	1	37	30	2	9	3	1
Peñaranda	3	2	-	27	31	-	3	2	-
<u>Barber/Beauty Shops</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>25</u>
San Isidro	24	21	14	28	25	17	24	21	14
San Leonardo	16	9	6	18	9	6	16	9	6
Peñaranda	7	6	5	9	8	8	7	6	5
<u>Other</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>-</u>
San Isidro	2	1	-	7	8	-	2	1	-
San Leonardo	1	1	-	2	2	-	1	1	-
Peñaranda	2	1	-	3	2	-	2	1	-
<u>GAPAN AREA TOTAL</u>	<u>196</u>	<u>121</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>459</u>	<u>314</u>	<u>126</u>	<u>199</u>	<u>128</u>	<u>64</u>

Table -d

Labor Absorption in

MANUFACTURE FOR LOCAL DEMANDS

	<u>Regulars</u>			<u>Part-Time</u>			<u>Full-Time Eq.</u>			<u>% Increase (Dec)</u>		
	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>67-71</u>	<u>61-67</u>	<u>61-71</u>
<u>GAPAN</u>	<u>158</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>176</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>60</u>			
Bakeries, etc.	69	34	15	27	15	9	77	39	17			
Tailoring in SFs	66	35	33	31	8	9	74	39	36			
Dressmaking in SFs	23	13	6	9	5	2	25	14	7			
 <u>RURAL TOWNS</u>	 <u>139</u>	 <u>111</u>	 <u>40</u>	 <u>46</u>	 <u>12</u>	 <u>4</u>	 <u>155</u>	 <u>115</u>	 <u>41</u>			
San Isidro	65	66	18	19	3	1	74	67	18			
San Leonardo	60	32	13	23	5	-	67	34	13			
Peñaranda	14	13	9	4	4	3	14	14	10			
 <u>Bakeries, etc.</u>	 <u>39</u>	 <u>53</u>	 <u>13</u>	 <u>19</u>	 <u>-</u>	 <u>-</u>	 <u>48</u>	 <u>53</u>	 <u>13</u>			
San Isidro	27	46	6	13	-	-	34	46	6			
San Leonardo	10	4	3	6	-	-	12	4	3			
Peñaranda	2	3	4	-	-	-	2	3	4			
 <u>Tailoring in SFs</u>	 <u>71</u>	 <u>46</u>	 <u>15</u>	 <u>24</u>	 <u>11</u>	 <u>3</u>	 <u>77</u>	 <u>50</u>	 <u>16</u>			
San Isidro	29	15	7	3	2	-	30	16	7			
San Leonardo	32	23	3	17	5	-	37	25	3			
Peñaranda	10	8	5	4	4	3	10	9	6			
 <u>Dressmaking in SFs</u>	 <u>29</u>	 <u>12</u>	 <u>12</u>	 <u>3</u>	 <u>1</u>	 <u>1</u>	 <u>30</u>	 <u>12</u>	 <u>12</u>			
San Isidro	9	5	5	3	1	1	10	5	5			
San Leonardo	18	5	7	-	-	-	18	5	7			
Peñaranda	2	2	-	-	-	-	2	2	-			

<u>Regulars</u>	<u>Part-Time</u>	<u>Full-Time Eq.</u>	<u>% Increase (Dec)</u>		
<u>71 67 61</u>	<u>71 67 61</u>	<u>71 67 61</u>	<u>67-71</u>	<u>61-67</u>	<u>61-71</u>

Rattan Furniture, etc.
Slipper Manufacturers
Clothing Manufacturers

San Isidro
San Leonardo
Peñaranda

San Isidro
San Leonardo
Peñaranda

San Isidro
San Leonardo
Peñaranda

San Isidro
San Leonardo
~~Pedernanda~~

Table -f

Labor Absorption in

LIGHT TRANSPORT-RELATED INDUSTRIES

	<u>Regulars</u>			<u>Part-Time</u>			<u>Full-Time Eq.</u>			<u>% Increase (Dec)</u>		
	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>67-71</u>	<u>61-67</u>	<u>61-71</u>
<u>GAPAN</u>	<u>653</u>	<u>273</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>656</u>	<u>274</u>	<u>70</u>			
Trans Shops (Light)	53	32	19	16	8	3	56	33	20			
Jeepneys	110	71	50	-	-	-	110	71	50			
Tricycles	490	170	-	-	-	-	490	170	-			
 <u>RURAL TOWNS</u>	 <u>454</u>	 <u>122</u>	 <u>25</u>	 <u>5</u>	 <u>-</u>	 <u>-</u>	 <u>455</u>	 <u>122</u>	 <u>25</u>			
San Isidro	196	40	10	-	-	-	196	40	10			
San Leonardo	200	56	5	5	-	-	201	56	5			
Peñaranda	58	26	10	-	-	-	58	26	10			
 <u>Trans Shops (Light)</u>	 <u>22</u>	 <u>10</u>	 <u>4</u>	 <u>5</u>	 <u>-</u>	 <u>-</u>	 <u>23</u>	 <u>10</u>	 <u>4</u>			
San Isidro	11	6	4	-	-	-	11	6	4			
San Leonardo	11	4	-	5	-	-	12	4	-			
Peñaranda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
 <u>Jeepneys</u>	 <u>54</u>	 <u>42</u>	 <u>21</u>	 <u>-</u>	 <u>-</u>	 <u>-</u>	 <u>54</u>	 <u>42</u>	 <u>21</u>			
San Isidro	18	11	6	-	-	-	18	11	6			
San Leonardo	13	11	5	-	-	-	13	11	5			
Peñaranda	23	20	10	-	-	-	23	20	10			
 <u>Tricycles</u>	 <u>378</u>	 <u>70</u>	 <u>-</u>	 <u>-</u>	 <u>-</u>	 <u>-</u>	 <u>378</u>	 <u>70</u>	 <u>-</u>			
San Isidro	167	23	-	-	-	-	167	23	-			
San Leonardo	176	41	-	-	-	-	176	41	-			
Peñaranda	35	6	-	-	-	-	35	6	-			

HOUSING COMPONENTS AND FURNISHINGS

[illegible]

CONSTRUCTION: MATERIALS MANUFACTURE/SUPPLY AND CONTRACTORS

[illegible]

Table -m

Labor Absorption in
MEDICAL SERVICES

	<u>Regulars</u>			<u>Part-Time</u>			<u>Full-Time Eq.</u>			<u>% Increase (Dec)</u>		
	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>67-71</u>	<u>61-67</u>	<u>61-71</u>
<u>GAPAN</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>17</u>				<u>70</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>17</u>			
Private Practices/Clinics	12	8	10				12	8	10			
Hospitals' (3) Personnel	34	14	-				34	14	-			
Dental/Optical	6	4	2				6	4	2			
Rural Health Units (3)	18	5	5				18	5	5			
<u>RURAL TOWNS</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>36</u>				<u>54</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>36</u>			
San Isidro	21	17	14				21	17	14			
San Leonardo	16	14	12				16	14	12			
Pañaranda	17	13	10				17	13	10			
<u>Private Practices/Clinics</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>11</u>				<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>11</u>			
San Isidro	7	6	4				7	6	4			
San Leonardo	4	5	4				4	5	4			
Pañaranda	4	4	3				4	4	3			
<u>Hospital Personnel</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>				<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>			
<u>Dental/Optical</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>6</u>				<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>6</u>			
San Isidro	3	4	3				3	4	3			
San Leonardo	3	2	1				3	2	1			
Pañaranda	5	4	2				5	4	2			
<u>Rural Health Units</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>19</u>				<u>28</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>19</u>			
San Isidro	11	7	7				11	7	7			
San Leonardo	9	7	7				9	7	7			
Pañaranda	8	5	5				8	5	5			

Table -p

Labor Absorption in
AGRICULTURAL INPUTS, PROCESSING, AND TRADING INDUSTRIES

	<u>Regulars</u>			<u>Part-Time</u>			<u>Full-Time Eq.</u>			<u>% Increase (Dec)</u>		
	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>67-71</u>	<u>61-67</u>	<u>61-71</u>
<u>GAPAN</u>	<u>434</u>	<u>332</u>	<u>189</u>	<u>451</u>	<u>340</u>	<u>178</u>	<u>562</u>	<u>429</u>	<u>239</u>			
Ag. Supplies Dealers	23	16	12	16	6	2	33	18	13			
Rice Milling/Trading	399	309	169	200	155	85	449	348	190			
Palay Dealers/Truckers	7	7	8	235	179	91	80	63	36			
<u>RURAL TOWNS</u>	<u>284</u>	<u>191</u>	<u>113</u>	<u>292</u>	<u>198</u>	<u>119</u>	<u>366</u>	<u>245</u>	<u>141</u>			
San Isidro	37	38	33	38	40	33	47	49	38			
San Leonardo	163	77	34	182	88	42	215	101	45			
Peñaranda	84	76	46	72	70	44	104	95	58			
<u>Ag. Supplies Dealers</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>			
San Isidro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
San Leonardo	3	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-			
Peñaranda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
<u>Rice Milling/Trading</u>	<u>268</u>	<u>183</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>134</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>303</u>	<u>206</u>	<u>125</u>			
San Isidro	36	37	32	18	19	16	41	42	36			
San Leonardo	156	74	34	78	37	17	176	83	38			
Peñaranda	76	72	45	38	36	23	86	81	51			
<u>Palay Dealers/Truckers</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>158</u>	<u>106</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>20</u>			
San Isidro	1	1	1	20	21	17	6	7	6			
San Leonardo	4	3	-	104	51	25	36	18	7			
Peñaranda	8	4	1	34	34	21	18	14	7			

Table -q

Labor Absorption in
MANUFACTURE, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR OF HEAVY EQUIPMENT

	<u>Regulars</u>			<u>Part-Time</u>			<u>Full-Time Eq.</u>			<u>% Increase (Dec)</u>		
	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>67-71</u>	<u>61-67</u>	<u>61-71</u>
<u>GAPAN</u>	<u>118</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>43</u>			
Vehicle Body Bldg.	10	10	6	2	2	3	11	11	7			
Transport Shops (Heavy)	37	27	21	9	6	-	38	27	21			
Service Stations	50	31	15	-	-	-	50	31	15			
Thresher/Battery/Pump Manufacturers	21	10	-	2	1	-	21	10	-			
<u>RURAL TOWNS</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>31</u>			
San Isidro	20	27	21	2	5	2	20	28	22			
San Leonardo	43	20	4	4	2	-	44	20	4			
Peffaranda	8	5	5	-	-	-	8	5	5			
<u>Vehicle Body Bldg.</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>			
San Isidro	2	8	9	-	3	2	2	9	10			
San Leonardo	15	3	3	4	-	-	16	3	3			
Peffaranda	4	1	1	-	-	-	4	1	1			
<u>Thresher/Battery/Pump</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>1</u>			
San Isidro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
San Leonardo	9	7	1	-	2	-	9	7	1			
Peffaranda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
<u>Transport Shops (Heavy)</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>			
San Isidro	12	10	12	2	2	-	12	10	12			
San Leonardo	2	3	-	-	-	-	2	3	-			
Peffaranda	2	2	2	-	-	-	2	2	2			
<u>Service Stations</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>2</u>			
San Isidro	6	9	-	-	-	-	6	9	-			
San Leonardo	17	7	-	-	-	-	17	7	-			
Peffaranda	2	2	2	-	-	-	2	2	2			
<u>GAPAN AREA TOTAL</u>	<u>189</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>192</u>	<u>132</u>	<u>74</u>			

